



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,865	03/29/2004	Myrl J. Saarem		9865

39620 7590 05/26/2005

MYRL J. SAAREM
2057 WEST WASHINGTON STREET
CARSON CITY, NV 89703

EXAMINER

DUNWOODY, AARON M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3679

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/810,865

Applicant(s)

SAAREM, MYRL J.

Examiner

Aaron M Dunwoody

Art Unit

3679

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 February 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-7 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The amendment filed 2/7/2005 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: Scalloped gripping teeth (44) facilitate deeper penetration in conduits 18 and 20 with less clamping force.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's prior art Figure 1 in view of US patent 1369913, Brunhoff.

In regards to claim 1, Applicant's prior art Figure 1 discloses a coupling comprising two half shells with gripping means, and a seal. Applicant's prior art Figure 1 does not disclose a plurality of toggle clamps, wherein, actuation of the toggle clamps causes the half shells to encompass and lock together the conduit ends and the seal prevents leakage in or out of the conduit ends. Brunhoff teaches a plurality of toggle clamps (41, 42) "to provide an improved lever mechanism for closing and sealing the

Art Unit: 3679

joints and applying the strain at both ends of the saving device [half shells]". It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a plurality of toggle clamps to provide an improved lever mechanism for closing and sealing the joints and applying the strain at both ends of the saving device [half shells], as taught by Brunhoff.

In regards to claim 2, Applicant's prior art Figure 1 discloses the two half shells with gripping teeth containing a plurality of gripping teeth.

In regards to claim 3, Applicant's prior art Figure 1 discloses the plurality of gripping teeth being saw-toothed shaped circumferential ribs.

In regards to claim 6, Applicant's prior art Figure 1 discloses the two half shells being made of material significantly harder than the soft plastic conduits.

In regards to claim 7, Applicant's prior art Figure 1 discloses the two half shells being made of relatively hard plastic material.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's prior art Figure 1 in view of Brunhoff, in further view of US patent 4898407, Ziedler.

In regards to claim 5, Applicant's prior art Figure 1 in view of Brunhoff disclose the claimed invention except for the two half shells containing circumferential ribs that compress the seal into contact with the conduits. Zeidler teaches two half shells (11) containing circumferential ribs (23, 24, 28) to deform the seal to seal any gaps between the conduits (col. 5, lines 25-44). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill

Art Unit: 3679

in the art at the time the invention was made to fabricate the two half shells with circumferential ribs to deform the seal to seal any gaps between the conduits, as taught by Zeidler.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/7/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that Brunhoff is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Brunhoff recites:

Art Unit: 3679

My invention relates to improvements in oil saving devices to be employed in withdrawing the pipe or casing of oil wells. One of its objects is to provide a device applicable to the pipe joints while they are being disconnected of sufficiently light weight and convenient size to be readily handled in applying and removing. Another object is to provide a device adaptable to pipes having the same internal diameter but with different outside diameters, as for instance single, extra heavy, and reinforced pipe. Another object is to provide for effecting a sealed joint with both the upper and lower pipe sections where a joint is to be broken. Another object is to provide an improved lever mechanism for closing and sealing the joints and applying the strain at both ends of the saving device. My invention also comprises

Therefore, Brunhoff is analogous art, and meets the claim limitations.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron M Dunwoody whose telephone number is 571-272-7080. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P Stodola can be reached on 571-272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3679

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Aaron M Dunwoody
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3679

.amd